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## Review

The $\chi^{2}$ distribution.
$\chi^{2}$ minimisation and interpretation. Reduced $\chi^{2}$ and caution.
The Empirical Rule for normal distributions.
The $z$-score.

## The Empirical Rule for normal distributions

Probability of obtaining values within 1,2 , or $3 \sigma$ of the centre of a normal distribution.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Central probability } & \text { Extreme (right-tail) probability } \\
P(|Z| \leq 1) \approx 0.68 & P(Z>1)=\frac{1}{2}[1-P(|Z| \leq 1 \\
P(|Z| \leq 2) \approx 0.95 & P(Z>2) \approx 0.025 . \\
P(|Z| \leq 3) \approx 0.997 & P(Z>3) \approx 0.0015 .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore also known as the 68-95-99.7 Rule.
$3 \sigma$ rule of thumb for normal distributions: most ( $99.7 \%$ ) of your data is within $3 \sigma$ of the mean.
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\begin{array}{ll}
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Other way around: for significance level $1-\alpha$,
what is the threshold $z_{\alpha / 2}$ such that $P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha$ ? (Two-tailed test)
what is the threshold $z_{\alpha / 2}$ such that $P\left(Z>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha$ ? (One-tailed test)

$$
P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)=1-P\left(|Z| \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right)=1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \Longrightarrow z_{\alpha / 2}=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1-\alpha) .
$$

## The Empirical Rule for normal distributions

Probability of obtaining values within 1,2 , or $3 \sigma$ of the centre of a normal distribution.

| $\frac{\text { Central probability }}{P(\|Z\| \leq 1) \approx 0.68}$ | $\frac{\text { Extreme (right-tail) probability }}{P(Z>1)=\frac{1}{2}[1-P(\|Z\| \leq 1)] \approx 0.16}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $P(\|Z\| \leq 2) \approx 0.95$ | $P(Z>2) \approx 0.025$. |
| $P(\|Z\| \leq 3) \approx 0.997$ | $P(Z>3) \approx 0.0015$. |

Therefore also known as the 68-95-99.7 Rule.
$3 \sigma$ rule of thumb for normal distributions:
most $(99.7 \%)$ of your data is within $3 \sigma$ of the mean.
Detecting new particle: $\min 5 \sigma \cdot P(Z>5) \approx 5.7 \times 10^{-7}$ Highly unlikely that event due to random chance.


Other way around: for significance level $1-\alpha$,
what is the threshold $z_{\alpha / 2}$ such that $P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha$ ? (Two-tailed test)
what is the threshold $z_{\alpha / 2}$ such that $P\left(Z>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha$ ? (One-tailed test)

$$
P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)=1-P\left(|Z| \leq z_{\alpha / 2}\right)=1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{z_{\alpha / 2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \Longrightarrow z_{\alpha / 2}=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1-\alpha) .
$$

Hypothesis testing. $1-\alpha$ usually $95 \%$. Observed probability: $p$-value.
Example: pixel with flux $3 \sigma$ above noise level. $p$-value: $P(Z>3)=0.00135<\alpha=0.05$.
$\Longrightarrow$ the detection is statistically significant at the $\alpha=95 \%$ level.

## Student's t-distribution

If $X \sim \mathscr{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with $\mu$ unknown, we use $\bar{X}$ and $\sigma$ to estimate $\mu: Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim \mathscr{N}(0,1)$.

## Student's t-distribution

If $X \sim \mathscr{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with $\mu$ unknown, we use $\bar{X}$ and $\sigma$ to estimate $\mu: Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim \mathscr{N}(0,1)$.
What if $\sigma$ is also unknown? We can estimate it from data. Recall: $\widehat{\sigma}=S$ such that
$S^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} \quad(\mu$ known $)$

$$
S^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \quad(\mu \text { unknown })
$$

## Student's t-distribution

If $X \sim \mathscr{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with $\mu$ unknown, we use $\bar{X}$ and $\sigma$ to estimate $\mu: Z=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim \mathscr{N}(0,1)$.
What if $\sigma$ is also unknown? We can estimate it from data. Recall: $\widehat{\sigma}=S$ such that
$S^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} \quad(\mu$ known $)$

$$
S^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \quad(\mu \text { unknown })
$$

$T \equiv \frac{X-\mu}{S}$ has a Student's t-distribution with \#dof $=N-1$ (if $\mu$ estimated by $\bar{x}$ ).

$$
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Symmetric about $t=0$, odd moments $=0$ (like the Gaussian). Uncertain estimate $S$ for $\sigma \Rightarrow$ more probability in the tails.
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\operatorname{Var}[T]=\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 1 .
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$$

Symmetric about $t=0$, odd moments $=0$ (like the Gaussian). Uncertain estimate $S$ for $\sigma \Rightarrow$ more probability in the tails.
$p_{T}(t, \nu) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{N}(0,1)$
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\operatorname{Var}[T]=\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 1 .
$$

Use the t-distribution for $N<30$.


## The $t$ statistic

For small samples ( $N<30$ ), we must compute the $t$-equivalent of the $z$ statistic in order to determine $t$-scores.

For a Normal random variable $X, T=\frac{X-\mu}{S}$. For any (CLT) sample mean: $T=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{N}}$
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For $\nu=4$, using scipy.stats.t.ppf and scipy.stats.norm.ppf, compare probability in the tails for various significance levels; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\left|T_{\nu=4}\right|>t_{\nu=4, \alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha \text { vs. } P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha: \\
& \quad \alpha=0.1 \quad: t_{\nu=4, \alpha / 2} \approx 2.13 ; z_{\alpha / 2} \approx 1.64 \quad \text { using t.ppf }(1-\alpha / 2), \text { norm.ppf }(1-\alpha / 2) \\
& \quad \alpha=0.05: t_{\nu=4, \alpha / 2} \approx 2.78 ; z_{\alpha / 2} \approx 1.96 \\
& \alpha=0.003: t_{\nu=4, \alpha / 2} \approx 6.44 ; z_{\alpha / 2} \approx 2.97
\end{aligned}
$$
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For $\nu=4$, using scipy.stats.t.ppf and scipy.stats.norm.ppf,
compare probability in the tails for various significance levels; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\left|T_{\nu=4}\right|>t_{\nu=4, \alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha \text { vs. } P\left(|Z|>z_{\alpha / 2}\right)<\alpha: \\
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Very different because of behaviour in the tails ("fatter" or "heavier"-tailed distribution)!

## More general rule(s) for non-Normal distributions?

If location and scale parameters known:

## Definition (Chebyshev's Inequality)

If $X$ is a random variable with finite mean $\mu$ and finite non-zero standard deviation $\sigma$, then

$$
P\left(\left|\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right| \geq k\right) \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad(\text { valid for } k>1)
$$

Two-tailed version, can be modified for asymmetric distributions.
Ex: $P(|Z| \geq 2) \leq 0.25 ; P(|Z| \geq 3) \leq 0.11$; compare to Empirical Rule for Normal distributions.
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P\left(\left|\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right| \geq k\right) \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad(\text { valid for } k>1)
$$

Two-tailed version, can be modified for asymmetric distributions.
Ex: $P(|Z| \geq 2) \leq 0.25 ; P(|Z| \geq 3) \leq 0.11$; compare to Empirical Rule for Normal distributions.

If $\mu, \sigma$ unknown:

## Definition (Markov's Inequality)

If $X$ is a nonnegative random variable and $a>0$,

$$
P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}
$$

Two-tailed version also exists.

## Interval estimates
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When generating estimates for parameters, a point estimate alone is not enough.

## Summary and references

When generating estimates for parameters, a point estimate alone is not enough.
An interval estimate is a range of values around the point estimate such that a probabilistic statement can be made about its relation to the true parameter value. Such a statement is usually in terms of the confidence we have that the range includes a certain fraction of possible values that are observed for the parameter in terms of its estimate.

## Summary and references

When generating estimates for parameters, a point estimate alone is not enough.
An interval estimate is a range of values around the point estimate such that a probabilistic statement can be made about its relation to the true parameter value. Such a statement is usually in terms of the confidence we have that the range includes a certain fraction of possible values that are observed for the parameter in terms of its estimate.
Example: an experiment to determine the mass of a rock results in a measurement of $(0.2 \pm 0.05) \mathrm{kg}$, where 0.05 kg is the $1 \sigma$ uncertainty in the measured mass. For Gaussian uncertainties, this means that $68.3 \%$ of the measured masses will lie in the range $[0.15,0.25] \mathrm{kg}$.

## Summary and references

When generating estimates for parameters, a point estimate alone is not enough.
An interval estimate is a range of values around the point estimate such that a probabilistic statement can be made about its relation to the true parameter value. Such a statement is usually in terms of the confidence we have that the range includes a certain fraction of possible values that are observed for the parameter in terms of its estimate.
Example: an experiment to determine the mass of a rock results in a measurement of $(0.2 \pm 0.05) \mathrm{kg}$, where 0.05 kg is the $1 \sigma$ uncertainty in the measured mass. For Gaussian uncertainties, this means that $68.3 \%$ of the measured masses will lie in the range $[0.15,0.25] \mathrm{kg}$.
Note that an interval estimate requires an estimate of the variance of the distribution of observed values. We will look at various ways of estimating this variance as well.

## Summary and references

When generating estimates for parameters, a point estimate alone is not enough.
An interval estimate is a range of values around the point estimate such that a probabilistic statement can be made about its relation to the true parameter value. Such a statement is usually in terms of the confidence we have that the range includes a certain fraction of possible values that are observed for the parameter in terms of its estimate.
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